

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,537	08/07/2006	Masaaki Higashida	2006_1185A	8359
\$3340 95662011 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			OH, ANDREW CHUNG SUK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/588,537 HIGASHIDA ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANDREW OH 2466 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-943)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s / Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2466

1. DETAILED ACTION

2. Response to Arguments

35 USC § 101

4. Applicant's arguments, see p11, filed 2011/03/08, with respect to section 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The section 101 rejections of claims 15 and 16 have been withdrawn.

5. <u>35 USC § 103 – Independent claims 1, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and dependent</u> claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 2011/03/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On p12, the applicant argues that Tomohiko teaches an address at the network layer regarding a converted unicast frame is a unicast IP address and not a multicast IP address. The applicant further argues that the header of the original packet is not used as an address for transferring a packet. The applicant distinguishes the present invention stating that the present invention converts an IP multicast frame into a unicast frame at the data link layer while at the network layer the multicast IP address is maintained.
- 7. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant and argues that the language of the claims fails to convey the invention as described in the arguments by the applicant. The independent claims only calls for "a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer." It is not specified to

Art Unit: 2466

what exactly the Network layer refers to. The applicant appears to have intended to convey that the Network layer of the IP Multicast frame, however, this is not conveyed in the claim language. The claims on their face convey that there exists "a Multicast IP address" and it is within "the IP Multicast frame" and it is set at the level of "a Network Layer." The examiner argues that Tomohiko fulfills all these conditions. Tomohiko teaches "a Multicast IP address" (fig.3, G1, S1) that is within "the IP Multicast frame" (fig.3, 30) and exists at "a Network Layer" (fig.3, fig.4: IP header with G1 and S1). The "Network Layer" can refer to any network layer including the network layer of the original multicast packet and the network layer at the destination receiver. Thus, the examiner believes that the independent claims 1, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and dependent claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 remain unpatentable.

8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 10. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth is section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1, 4, 8, 9, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomohiko (EP-1134933), and further in view of Sharony (US-20040057459), Tomohiko-US (US-20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872).

Art Unit: 2466

12. As to claim 1, 15, 17, 19: Tomohiko teaches a communication system. comprising: a first communication device (fig.2, 101); and a second communication device (fig.2, 201), wherein said first communication device includes: a first content receiving unit operable to receive, via a first communication path, a Multicast frame which stores a content ([0042-0043]; sender transmits multicast packet with data payload to first transfer apparatus); a conversion unit operable to convert the received Multicast frame into a Unicast frame (10045-00491; first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet) addressed to said second communication device ([0036], [0048]; unicast packet addressed to second transfer apparatus); and a first content transmission unit operable to transmit the converted Unicast frame to said second communication device via a second communication path, based on a protocol having a ... processing ([0048-0049]: unicast packet to second transfer apparatus), and said second communication device includes: a second content receiving unit operable to receive the Unicast frame transmitted via the second communication path from said first communication device based on the protocol having the ... processing (fig.2; [0048-0050]: second transfer apparatus receives unicast packet and converts it into a multicast packet for the receivers), ..., the Multicast frame is an IP Multicast frame (fig.4; [0042]: IP header having group destination address), and said conversion unit is operable to convert the IP Multicast frame into the Unicast frame ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet).

Art Unit: 2466

13. Tomohiko teaches wherein the re-transmission processing is performed at a Media Access Control (MAC) layer that is a layer lower than a layer of a communication protocol defining the Multicast frame. However, Sharony teaches wherein the re-transmission processing is performed at a Media Access Control (MAC) layer that is a layer lower than a layer of a communication protocol defining the Multicast frame ([0053-0057]: MAC layer retransmission for 802.11 unicast by access point; wherein Tomohiko teaches the unicast portion having IP / UDP headers in addition to the data link header in fig.5, [0048]).

- 14. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Sharony into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests an IP / UDP unicast transmission between two packet transfer apparatuses ([0012], [0049]) in general and Tomohiko suggests a wireless unicast transmission between two wireless devices where the wireless protocol supports retransmission ([0053-0057]), the motivation being to derive benefits such as a smaller footprint and simplification, and reduced cost from not using expensive wiring; with regards to wireless retransmission, allow the system to recover data in the event that a message fails to reach its destination ([0053-0057]).
- 15. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach in which a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer. However, Tomohiko-US teaches in which a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer (fig.3, fig.4; [0059-0061]: G1 and S1 are maintained in the incoming multicast packet as well as the outgoing unicast packet; wherein G1

Art Unit: 2466

and S1 are in a Network Layer due to their existence in an IP header which is equivalent to "a Network Layer" which is the network layer at the second content receiver, the claim does not state with respect to which packet the Multicast IP address is set as, the Multicast IP address G1 and S1 fulfill the two conditions "included in the IP Multicast frame" and "set as an address at a Network Layer" where the network layer is the current IP header in which they are located).

- 16. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Tomohiko-US into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests a communication system of converting a multicast packet into a unicast packet ([0045-0049]) in general and Tomohiko-US suggests a communication system of converting a multicast packet into a unicast packet where an IP address included in the IP multicast frame is set as the address at a network layer of the second content receiver, the motivation being to restore the multicast packet and transmit the packet to the correct destination client at the network layer at the second content receiver (100641).
- 17. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach and a MAC address of said second communication device is set as an address at a Data Link Layer. However, Kobayashi teaches and a MAC address of said second communication device is set as an address at a Data Link Layer ([0020, 0021, 0149, 0155, 0162, 0203, 0208, 0210]: convert multicast packet into unicast packet addressed to the client MAC address).
- 18. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Kobavashi into Tomohiko since

Art Unit: 2466

Tomohiko suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast ([0045-0049]) in general and Kobayashi suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast and inserting the MAC address for the unicast destination ([0200-0203]), the motivation being to correctly forward the data packet to its proper destination ([0203]).

19 As to claim 16, 20: Tomohiko teaches a receiving device which receives a content transmitted from a transmitting device, wherein the transmitting device includes: a first content receiver configured to receive, via a first communication path, a Multicast frame which stores the content ([0042-0043]: sender transmits multicast packet with data payload to first transfer apparatus); a first converter configured to convert the received Multicast frame into a Unicast frame (10045-00491; first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet) addressed to the receiving device (I0036], I0048]; unicast packet addressed to second transfer apparatus); and a first content transmitter configured to transmit the converted Unicast frame to the receiving device via a second communication path, based on a protocol having a ... processing ([0048-0049]; unicast packet to second transfer apparatus). and the receiving device comprising: a second content receiver configured to receive the Unicast frame transmitted from the transmitting device based on the protocol having the ... processing (fig.2: [0048-0050]; second transfer apparatus receives unicast packet and converts it into a multicast packet for the receivers); and a second converter configured to convert the Unicast frame received by said second content receiver to a Multicast frame (fig.2; [0048-0050]: second transfer apparatus receives

Art Unit: 2466

unicast packet and converts it into a multicast packet for the receivers), ..., the Multicast frame is an IP Multicast frame (fig.4; [0042]: IP header having group destination address), and said first converter is configured to convert the IP Multicast frame into the Unicast frame ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet).

- 20. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein the re-transmission processing is performed at a Media Access Control (MAC) layer that is a layer lower than a layer of a communication protocol defining the Multicast frame. However, Sharony teaches wherein the re-transmission processing is performed at a Media Access Control (MAC) layer that is a layer lower than a layer of a communication protocol defining the Multicast frame ([0053-0057]: MAC layer retransmission for 802.11 unicast by access point; wherein Tomohiko teaches the unicast portion having IP / UDP headers in addition to the data link header in fig.5, [0048]).
- 21. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Sharony into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests an IP / UDP unicast transmission between two packet transfer apparatuses ([0012], [0049]) in general and Tomohiko suggests a wireless unicast transmission between two wireless devices where the wireless protocol supports retransmission ([0053-0057]), the motivation being to derive benefits such as a smaller footprint and simplification, and reduced cost from not using expensive wiring; with regards to wireless retransmission, allow the system to recover data in the event that a message fails to reach its destination ([0053-0057]).

Art Unit: 2466

22. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach in which a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer. However, Tomohiko-US teaches in which a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer (fig.3, fig.4; [0059-0061]: G1 and S1 are maintained in the incoming multicast packet as well as the outgoing unicast packet; wherein G1 and S1 are in a Network Layer due to their existence in an IP header which is equivalent to "a Network Layer" which is the network layer at the second content receiver, the claim does not state with respect to which packet the Multicast IP address is set as, the Multicast IP address G1 and S1 fulfill the two conditions "included in the IP Multicast frame" and "set as an address at a Network Layer" where the network layer is the current IP header in which they are located).

- 23. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Tomohiko-US into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests a communication system of converting a multicast packet into a unicast packet ([0045-0049]) in general and Tomohiko-US suggests a communication system of converting a multicast packet into a unicast packet where an IP address included in the IP multicast frame is set as the address at a network layer of the second content receiver, the motivation being to restore the multicast packet and transmit the packet to the correct destination client at the network layer at the second content receiver ([0064]).
- 24. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach and a MAC address of the receiving device is set as an address at a Data Link Layer. However, Kobayashi teaches and a MAC

Art Unit: 2466

address of the receiving device is set as an address at a Data Link Layer ([0020, 0021, 0149, 0155, 0162, 0203, 0208, 0210]: convert multicast packet into unicast packet addressed to the client MAC address).

- 25. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Kobayashi into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast ([0045-0049]) in general and Kobayashi suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast and inserting the MAC address for the unicast destination ([0200-0203]), the motivation being to correctly forward the data packet to its proper destination ([0203]).
- 26. As to claim 4: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim

 1, wherein said conversion unit is operable to convert the IP Multicast frame to the

 Unicast frame ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast

 packet to produce unicast packet) in which an IP address of said second

 communication device is set as an address at a Network Layer ([0036], [0048]: unicast

 packet addressed to second transfer apparatus).
- 27. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach and the MAC address of said second communication device is set to as the address at the Data Link Layer. However, Kobayashi teaches and the MAC address of said second communication device is set to as the address at the Data Link Layer ([0020, 0021, 0149, 0155, 0162, 0203, 0208,

Art Unit: 2466

0210]: convert multicast packet into unicast packet addressed to the client MAC address).

Page 11

- 28. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Kobayashi into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast ([0045-0049]) in general and Kobayashi suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast and inserting the MAC address for the unicast destination ([0200-0203]), the motivation being to correctly forward the data packet to its proper destination ([02031).
- 29. As to claim 8: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 1 further comprising a third communication device which is connected to said second communication device (fig.2, 201, 211, 212: receivers connected to second packet transfer apparatus), wherein said second communication device further includes a second content transmission unit operable to transmit a content included in the Unicast frame received by said second content receiving unit to said third communication device ([0049-0050]: second packet transfer apparatus transmits packets to receivers), and said third communication device is operable to receive the content transmitted from said second communication device ([0049-0050]: receivers receive multicast packets).
- 30. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach and to provide the received content to a user.

Page 12

Art Unit: 2466

31. However, it is well known in the art that users request and receive streaming multicast content and media through receivers such as personal computers, cell phones, and other devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have a user operate a receiver and obtain streaming content in order to provide services such as streaming music, video, VOIP, and other types of services to customers.

- 32. As to claim 9: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 8, wherein said second content transmission unit is operable to convert the Unicast frame received by said second content receiving unit into a Multicast frame and to transmit the converted Multicast frame to said third communication device ([0049-0050]: second packet transfer apparatus reproduces original multicast packet from received unicast packet).
- 33. As to claim 13: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 1, wherein said first content receiving unit is operable to receive a Multicast frame which stores a plurality of the contents ([0042-0043]: sender transmits multicast packet with data payload to first transfer apparatus), and wherein said conversion unit is operable to convert the Multicast frame corresponding to the plurality of the contents received by said first content receiving unit into a Unicast frame, in order to store the plurality of the contents into the single Unicast frame ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet).

Art Unit: 2466

34. Claim 5, 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomohiko (EP-1134933), Sharony (US-20040057459), Tomohiko-US (US-20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Zisapel (US-20030195984).

- 35. As to claim 5: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 1 ... wherein said first content receiving unit is operable to extract from the Multicast frames transmitted via the first communication path a Multicast frame which stores a content corresponding to the content request received by said first content request receiving unit, and operable to receive the extracted Multicast frame ([0006, 0042-0044]: receive, reads in, reads out, and distribute packets; each packet is singled out and used to refer to peer management table; wherein the specification does not describe this particular limitation, the examiner interprets this limitation as a single multicast frame being taken out of a stream, "extracted," of a plurality of multicast frames).
- 36. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein said second communication device further includes a second content request unit operable to request said first communication device to distribute the content, and said first communication device further includes a first content request receiving unit operable to receive the content request from said second communication device. However, Zisapel teaches wherein said second communication device further includes a second content request unit operable to request said first communication device to distribute the content (fig.3f. 135.

Art Unit: 2466

145; [0136-0137]: content router forwards requests issued by client to server via router), and said first communication device further includes a first content request receiving unit operable to receive the content request from said second communication device (fig.3f, 135, 145; [0136-0137]: content router forwards requests issued by client to server via router).

- 37. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Zisapel into Tomohiko since.
 Tomohiko suggests a first and a second packet transfer device relaying media to client devices from a source device ([0045-0050]) in general and Zisapel suggests a first and a second packet transfer device relaying client requests to a server ([0136-0137]), the motivation being to provide the content and services on-demand that are desired by the client and to enable load balancing ([0022]).
- 38. As to claim 10: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 8 ... wherein said first content receiving unit is operable to extract from the Multicast frames which have been transmitted via said first communication path a Multicast frame which stores the content corresponding to the content request received by said first content request receiving unit, and operable to receive the extracted Multicast frame ([0006, 0042-0044]: receive, reads in, reads out, and distribute packets; each packet is singled out and used to refer to peer management table; wherein the specification does not describe this particular limitation, the examiner interprets

Art Unit: 2466

this limitation as a single multicast frame being taken out of a stream,
"extracted." of a plurality of multicast frames).

- Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein said second communication device further includes; a second content request receiving unit operable to receive the content request from said third communication device; a second content request unit operable to request said first communication device to distribute a content corresponding to the content request received by said second content request receiving unit, and said first communication device further includes a first content request receiving unit operable to receive the content request from said second communication device. However, Zisapel teaches wherein said second communication device further includes: a second content request receiving unit operable to receive the content request from said third communication device (fig.3f, 135, 145; [0136-0137]: content router forwards requests issued by client to server via router); a second content request unit operable to request said first communication device to distribute a content corresponding to the content request received by said second content request receiving unit (fig.3f, 135, 145; [0136-0137]; content router forwards requests issued by client to server via router), and said first communication device further includes a first content request receiving unit operable to receive the content request from said second communication device (fig.3f, 135, 145; [0136-0137]; content router forwards requests issued by client to server via router).
- 40. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Zisapel into Tomohiko since

Art Unit: 2466

Tomohiko suggests a first and a second packet transfer device relaying media to client devices from a source device ([0045-0050]) in general and Zisapel suggests a first and a second packet transfer device relaying client requests to a server ([0136-0137]), the motivation being to provide the content and services on-demand that are desired by the client and to enable load balancing ([0022]).

- 41. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Tomohiko (EP-1134933), Sharony (US-20040057459), Tomohiko-US (US20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872), Zisapel (US-20030195984) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Alexander (US-7411901).
- 42. As to claim 6: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 5 ... said first content receiving unit is operable to extract from the Multicast frames which are transmitted via said first communication path Multicast frames corresponding to a plurality of contents corresponding to the plurality of the content requests received by said first content request receiving unit, and operable to receive the extracted Multicast frames ([0006, 0042-0044]: receive, reads in, reads out, and distribute packets; each packet is singled out and used to refer to peer management table; wherein the specification does not describe this particular limitation, the examiner interprets this limitation as a single multicast frame being taken out of a stream, "extracted," of a plurality of multicast frames), said conversion unit is operable to convert the ... of the Multicast frames which have been received by said first content receiving unit and are corresponding to the ... of the contents into Unicast frames ...

Art Unit: 2466

which have been converted by said conversion unit to the ... of said second communication devices ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet).

- 43. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach further comprising a plurality of said second communication devices, wherein said first content request receiving unit is operable to receive the content requests from the plurality of said second communication devices. ... which are addressed to the plurality of said second communication devices which have requested the contents, and said first content transmission unit is operable to transmit the Unicast frames. However, Alexander teaches further comprising a plurality of said second communication devices (col.4, In.45-65; plurality of devices receiving unicast packets transmitted from a single packet transfer device), wherein said first content request receiving unit is operable to receive the content requests from the plurality of said second communication devices (col.4, In.45-65: multiple unicast streams transmitted at request of receiving device) ... which are addressed to the plurality of said second communication devices which have requested the contents (col.4, In.45-65; multiple unicast streams transmitted at request of receiving device), and said first content transmission unit is operable to transmit the Unicast frames (col.4, In.45-65: plurality of devices receiving unicast packets transmitted from a single packet transfer device).
- 44. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Alexander into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests transmitting unicast streams (10045-00491) in general and

Page 18

Application/Control Number: 10/588,537

Art Unit: 2466

Alexander suggests transmitting multiple unicast streams to requesting nodes, the motivation being to provide a fast, efficient highly scalable, low cost method of disseminating streaming media in a one-to-many environment (col.4, In.45-65).

- 45. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomohiko (EP-1134933), Sharony (US-20040057459), Tomohiko-US (US-20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872), Zisapel (US-20030195984), Alexander (US-7411901) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Lipp (US-6751219).
- 46. As to claim 7: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim6.
- 47. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein said first communication device further includes a first content duplication unit operable to duplicate a content requested by the plurality of said second communication devices among a plurality of contents included in the Multicast frames received by said first content receiving unit, and wherein said conversion unit is operable to convert the Multicast frames corresponding to a plurality of the identical contents which have been duplicated by said first content duplication unit into Unicast frames which are addressed to the plurality of said second communication devices which have requested the content, and said first content transmission unit is operable to transmit the Unicast frames which have been converted by said conversion unit to the plurality of said second communication devices which have requested the content. However, Lipp teaches wherein said first communication

Art Unit: 2466

device further includes a first content duplication unit operable to duplicate a content requested by the plurality of said second communication devices among a plurality of contents included in the Multicast frames received by said first content receiving unit (abstract; fig.8; col.4, In.3-12, 39-45, col.9, In.36—col.10, In.64; multicast packet is replicated into several unicast packets), and wherein said conversion unit is operable to convert the Multicast frames corresponding to a plurality of the identical contents which have been duplicated by said first content duplication unit into Unicast frames which are addressed to the plurality of said second communication devices which have requested the content (abstract: fig.8; col.4, In.3-12, 39-45, col.9, In.36—col.10. In.64: multicast packet is replicated into several unicast packets; destination field stores different destination addresses), and said first content transmission unit is operable to transmit the Unicast frames which have been converted by said conversion unit to the plurality of said second communication devices which have ... the content (abstract; fig.8; col.4, In.3-12, 39-45, col.9, In.36—col.10, In.64; multicast packet is replicated into several unicast packets; destination field stores a plurality of destination addresses).

48. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Lipp into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests converting multicast packets into unicast packets ([0045-0049]) in general and Lipp suggests duplicating multicast packets into unicast packets, the motivation being to reduce congestion and latency (col.3, In.37-49).

Page 20

Application/Control Number: 10/588,537

Art Unit: 2466

- 49. Claim 11, 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomohiko (EP-1134933), Sharony (US-20040057459), Tomohiko-US (US-20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872), Zisapel (US-20030195984) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Lipp (US-6751219), Alexander (US-7411901).
- 50. As to claim 11: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim10 further comprising a plurality of said third communication devices (fig.2, 211, 212).
- 51. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein said second communication device further includes a second content duplication unit operable to duplicate the content requested by the plurality of said third communication devices among a plurality of contents included in the Multicast frames received by said second content receiving unit, and said second content transmission unit operable to transmit a plurality of identical contents which have been duplicated by said second duplication unit to the plurality of said third communication devices which have requested the contents. However, Lipp teaches wherein said second communication device further includes a second content duplication unit operable to duplicate the content ... by the plurality of said third communication devices among a plurality of contents included in the Multicast frames received by said second content receiving unit (abstract; fig.8; col.4, In.3-12, 39-45, col.9, ln.36—col.10, ln.64; multicast packet is replicated into several unicast packets), and said second content transmission unit operable to transmit a plurality of identical contents which have been duplicated by said second duplication unit to the plurality of said third communication devices which have ... the contents

Art Unit: 2466

(abstract; fig.8; col.4, In.3-12, 39-45, col.9, In.36—col.10, In.64: multicast packet is replicated into several unicast packets; destination field stores a plurality of destination addresses).

- 52. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Lipp into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests converting multicast packets into unicast packets ([0045-0049]) in general and Lipp suggests duplicating multicast packets into unicast packets, the motivation being to reduce congestion and latency (col.3, In.37-49).
- 53. Tomohiko, Lipp may not explicitly teach requests from plurality of third communications devices. However, Alexander teaches requests from third communications devices (col.4, In.45-65: plurality of devices receiving unicast packets transmitted from a single packet transfer device at request of receiving device).
- 54. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Alexander into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests transmitting unicast streams ([0045-0049]) in general and Alexander suggests transmitting multiple unicast streams to requesting nodes, the motivation being to provide a fast, efficient highly scalable, low cost method of disseminating streaming media in a one-to-many environment (col.4, In.45-65).
- 55. As to claim 12: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 10 further comprising a plurality of said third communication devices (fig.2, 211, 212).

Page 22

Application/Control Number: 10/588,537

Art Unit: 2466

56. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein said second content request unit is operable to request said first communication device to distribute the content. However, Zisapel teaches wherein said second content request unit is operable to request said first communication device to distribute the content (fig.3f, 135, 145; [0136-0137]: content router forwards requests issued by client to server via router).

- 57. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Zisapel into Tomohiko since

 Tomohiko suggests a first and a second packet transfer device relaying media to client devices from a source device ([0045-0050]) in general and Zisapel suggests a first and a second packet transfer device relaying client requests to a server ([0136-0137]), the motivation being to provide the content and services on-demand that are desired by the client and to enable load balancing ([0022]).
- 58. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach after receiving all content requests from the plurality of said third communication devices. However, Alexander teaches after receiving all content requests from the plurality of said third communication devices (col.4, In.45-65: plurality of devices receiving unicast packets transmitted from a single packet transfer device at request of receiving device).
- 59. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Alexander into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests transmitting unicast streams ([0045-0049]) in general and Alexander suggests transmitting multiple unicast streams to requesting nodes, the

Art Unit: 2466

motivation being to provide a fast, efficient highly scalable, low cost method of disseminating streaming media in a one-to-many environment (col.4. In.45-65).

- 60. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach in a case where the contents requested by the plurality of said third communication devices are identical. However, Lipp teaches in a case where the contents requested by the plurality of said third communication devices are identical (col.2, In.7-27: payload that is duplicated is identical for each packet).
- 61. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Lipp into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests converting multicast packets into unicast packets ([0045-0049]) in general and Lipp suggests duplicating multicast packets into unicast packets, the motivation being to reduce congestion and latency (col.3, In.37-49).
- 62. Claim 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomohiko (EP-1134933), Sharony (US-20040057459), Tomohiko-US (US-20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wesley (US-6076114).
- 63. As to claim 14: Tomohiko teaches the communication system according to Claim 1 ... and said first content receiving unit is operable to receive, via said first communication path, the Multicast frame which stores the content ([0042-0043]),
- 64. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach wherein said first communication path is a wire. However, Wesley teaches wherein said first communication path is a wire (col.7, In.48-67: wired network).

Art Unit: 2466

65. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Wesley into Tomohiko since

Tomohiko suggests IP and UDP protocol ([0042]) in general and Wesley suggests UDP and IP over wired networks and UDP having retransmission capabilities, the motivation being to overcome problems of latency and data loss in unstable communications environments (col.7, In.48-67).

- 66. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach based on the protocol having the retransmission processing. However, Sharony teaches based on the protocol having the re-transmission processing ([0053-0057]: MAC layer retransmission for 802.11 unicast by access point; wherein Tomohiko teaches the unicast portion having IP / UDP headers in addition to the data link header in fig.5, [0048]).
- 67. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Sharony into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests an IP / UDP unicast transmission between two packet transfer apparatuses ([0012], [0049]) in general and Tomohiko suggests a wireless unicast transmission between two wireless devices where the wireless protocol supports retransmission ([0053-0057]), the motivation being to derive benefits such as a smaller footprint and simplification, and reduced cost from not using expensive wiring; with regards to wireless retransmission, allow the system to recover data in the event that a message fails to reach its destination.

Art Unit: 2466

Claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Tomohiko (EP-1134933) and further in view of Sharony (US-20040057459),
 Tomohiko-US (US-20010018714), Kobayashi (US-20040158872), Wakai (US-5973722).

69. As to claim 18: Tomohiko teaches a content distribution system for distributing a content to a seat in the content distribution system, the content distribution system comprising: a first communication device and a second communication device, wherein said first communication device includes: a first content receiving unit operable to receive, via a first communication path, a Multicast frame which stores a content ([0042-0043]; sender transmits multicast packet with data payload to first transfer apparatus); a conversion unit operable to convert the received Multicast frame into a Unicast frame ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet) addressed to said second communication device ([0036], [0048]: unicast packet addressed to second transfer apparatus); and a first content transmission unit operable to transmit the converted Unicast frame to said second communication device via a second communication path, based on a protocol having a ... processing ([0048-0049]: unicast packet to second transfer apparatus), and said second communication device includes: a second content receiving unit operable to receive the Unicast frame transmitted from said first communication device via the second communication path based on the protocol having the ... processing ([0048-0050]; second transfer apparatus receives unicast packet); and a second content transmission unit operable to transmit the content included in the Unicast frame

Art Unit: 2466

received by said second content receiving unit ([0048-0050]: restore multicast packet and send contents from second transfer apparatus to receivers) ..., ..., the Multicast frame is an IP Multicast frame (fig.4; [0042]: IP header having group destination address), and said conversion unit is operable to convert the IP Multicast frame into the Unicast frame ([0045-0049]: first transfer apparatus rewrites header of multicast packet to produce unicast packet).

- 70. Tomohiko teaches wherein the re-transmission processing is performed at a Media Access Control (MAC) layer that is a layer lower than a layer of a communication protocol defining the Multicast frame. However, Sharony teaches wherein the re-transmission processing is performed at a Media Access Control (MAC) layer that is a layer lower than a layer of a communication protocol defining the Multicast frame ([0053-0057]: MAC layer retransmission for 802.11 unicast by access point; wherein Tomohiko teaches the unicast portion having IP / UDP headers in addition to the data link header in fig.5, [0048]).
- 71. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Sharony into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests an IP / UDP unicast transmission between two packet transfer apparatuses ([0012], [0049]) in general and Tomohiko suggests a wireless unicast transmission between two wireless devices where the wireless protocol supports retransmission ([0053-0057]), the motivation being to derive benefits such as a smaller footprint and simplification, and reduced cost from not using expensive wiring; with

Art Unit: 2466

regards to wireless retransmission, allow the system to recover data in the event that a message fails to reach its destination ([0053-0057]).

- 72. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach in which a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer. However, Tomohiko-US teaches in which a Multicast IP address included in the IP Multicast frame is set as an address at a Network Layer (fig.3, fig.4; [0059-0061]: G1 and S1 are maintained in the incoming multicast packet as well as the outgoing unicast packet; wherein G1 and S1 are in a Network Layer due to their existence in an IP header which is equivalent to "a Network Layer" which is the network layer at the second content receiver, the claim does not state with respect to which packet the Multicast IP address is set as, the Multicast IP address G1 and S1 fulfill the two conditions "included in the IP Multicast frame" and "set as an address at a Network Layer" where the network layer is the current IP header in which they are located).
- 73. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Tomohiko-US into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests a communication system of converting a multicast packet into a unicast packet ([0045-0049]) in general and Tomohiko-US suggests a communication system of converting a multicast packet into a unicast packet where an IP address included in the IP multicast frame is set as the address at a network layer of the second content receiver, the motivation being to restore the multicast packet and transmit the packet to the correct destination client at the network layer at the second content receiver ([0064]).

Art Unit: 2466

74. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach and a MAC address of said second communication device is set as an address at a Data Link Layer. However, Kobayashi teaches and a MAC address of said second communication device is set as an address at a Data Link Layer ([0020, 0021, 0149, 0155, 0162, 0203, 0208, 0210]: convert multicast packet into unicast packet addressed to the client MAC address).

- 75. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Kobayashi into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast ([0045-0049]) in general and Kobayashi suggests converting data from IP multicast into IP unicast and inserting the MAC address for the unicast destination ([0200-0203]), the motivation being to correctly forward the data packet to its proper destination ([0203]).
- 76. Tomohiko may not explicitly teach to the seat in the content distribution system.
 However, Wakai teaches to the seat in the content distribution system (col.17, In.41-60, col.18, In.42-54: multicast media to passengers).
- 77. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Wakai into Tomohiko since Tomohiko suggests media distribution to receivers ([0049-0050]) in general and Wakai suggests media distribution in an airplane to passengers, the motivation being to allow passengers the choice of receiving or not receiving a media stream (col.17, In.41-60, col.18, In.42-54).

Art Unit: 2466

78. Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 80. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW OH whose telephone number is (571)270-5273. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5AM EST.
- 82. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Ryman can be reached on (571)272-3152. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2466

83. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

84. 85.

86. /A. O./

87. Examiner, Art Unit 2466

/Daniel J. Ryman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2466